

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 CES/CEV

FROM: 436 MSG/CC

SUBJECT: Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI)-Repair and Construct two additions to the Entomology Facility, Building 921.

- 1. Dover AFB is proposing to repair and construct two additions to the Entomology Facility. The facility is approximately 5,740 square feet.
- 2. An environmental assessment, which is attached, was drafted and demonstrates that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action. An environmental assessment was available for public review and comment from 6 June through 19 June 2004. No comments were received.
- 3. This document was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations of 1978, and Air Force Instruction (AFI) 32-7061, *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process*. AFI 32-7061 addresses implementation of the NEPA and directs Air Force officials to consider the environmental consequences of any proposal as part of the decision-making process. This instruction has been recently amended and appears, as amended, in 32 CFR Part 989. It was determined that neither an environmental impact statement nor a formal environmental assessment is necessary. No further environmental documentation is necessary.
- 4. I have evaluated the attached environmental assessment and find no significant impacts on the quality of the human or natural environment from the proposed action.

ROBERT LKING, Cotonel, USAF

Commander, 436th Mission Support Group

Attachments:

- 1. AF Form 813
- 2. Environmental Assessment

Public reporting burden for the coll- maintaining the data needed, and co- including suggestions for reducing VA 22202-4302. Respondents shou does not display a currently valid O	ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu ld be aware that notwithstanding a	tion of information. Send commer narters Services, Directorate for Ir	nts regarding this burden estimate formation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	his collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington			
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2004		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVE 00-00-2004	ERED 4 to 00-00-2004			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER			
Environmental Assessment Repair and Construction of Two Additions to Entomology Facility					5b. GRANT NUMBER			
					5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER				
					5e. TASK NUMBER			
		5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER						
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZ 436th Civil Enginee AFB,DE,19902	- ' ' ' '	8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER						
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)				
					11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publi		ion unlimited						
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	ΓES							
14. ABSTRACT								
15. SUBJECT TERMS								
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON			
a. REPORT	b. ABSTRACT	c. THIS PAGE	Same as	20	ILDSI OTIOIDEE I ERBOTT			

unclassified

Report (SAR)

Report Documentation Page

unclassified

unclassified

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT REPAIR AND CONSTRUCT TWO ADDITIONS TO THE ENTOMOLOGY FACTILITY

1.0 INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

1.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Dover Air Force Base (DAFB) is located in Kent County, Delaware. The base is situated 3.5 miles southeast of the center of Dover, Delaware, the state capital. The base covers approximately 3,902 acres of land area including annexes, easements, and leased property.

Dover AFB has two active airfields. The north-south airfield at Dover AFB divides the main Base into two primary sections. Open space, recreational areas, and limited amounts of industrial uses are located east of the airfield. The land uses west of the airfield and east of U.S. Route 113 are industrial, airfield operations, administrative, community, medical, and some unaccompanied personnel housing. Eagle Heights Military Family House (MFH), temporary lodging quarters, a golf course, and additional unaccompanied personnel housing are located west of U.S. Route 113 and east of St. Jones River. The surrounding areas consist primarily of cropland and wetlands, with the Saint Jones River running adjacent to the southwestern corner of the base.

1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE and NEED

The proposed project identified in the AF Form 813 would repair part of the current Entomology Facility, increase the size of the herbicide storage room by 320 square feet, and construct a 72 square feet electrical room addition to the building. The Entomology Facility received several discrepancies that were documented during an Environmental Compliance Assessment and Management Program (ECAMP) evaluation in 2001. This proposed project is necessary to bring the facility into compliance with the appropriate regulations.

2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

2.1 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

The National Environmental Policy Act, commonly known as NEPA, is a Federal statute requiring the identification and analysis of potential environmental impacts of proposed Federal actions before those actions are taken. NEPA established the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) that is charged with the development of implementing regulations and ensuring agency compliance with NEPA. CEQ regulations mandate that all Federal agencies use a systematic interdisciplinary approach to environmental planning and the evaluation of actions that may affect the environment.

This process evaluates potential environmental consequences associated with a proposed action and considers alternative courses of action. The intent of NEPA is to protect, restore, or enhance the environment through well-informed Federal decisions.

The process for implementing NEPA is codified in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508, Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act. CEQ regulations specify the following must be accomplished when preparing an environmental assessment (EA):

- Briefly provide evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) or a finding of no significant impact (FONSI)
- Aid in an agency's compliance with NEPA when an EIS is unnecessary
- Facilitate preparation of an EIS when one is necessary

Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 32-70, *Environmental Quality*, states that the U.S. Air Force (USAF) will comply with applicable Federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, including NEPA. The USAF's implementing regulation for NEPA is *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP)*, 32 CFR Part 989, as amended.

2.2 INTEGRATION OF OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

To comply with NEPA, the planning and decision-making process for actions proposed by Federal agencies involves a study of other relevant environmental statutes and regulations. The NEPA process, however, does not replace procedural or substantive requirements of other environmental statutes and regulations. It addresses them collectively in the form of an EA or EIS, which enables the decision-maker to have a comprehensive view of major environmental issues and requirements associated with the Proposed Action. According to CEQ regulations, the requirements of NEPA must be integrated "with other planning and environmental review procedures required by law or by agency so that all such procedures run concurrently rather than consecutively."

The EA examines potential effects of the Proposed Action and alternatives on seven resource areas including air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geological resources, hazardous materials and waste, safety, and water resources. Four resource areas that have been omitted from analysis include infrastructure, noise, land use, and socioeconomics and environmental justice. The basis for the omissions is described in section 4.0. The following paragraphs present examples of relevant laws, regulations, and other requirements that are often considered as part of the analysis.

2.2.1 Air Quality

The Clean Air Act (CAA) establishes Federal policy to protect and enhance the quality of the nation's air resources to protect human health and the

environment. The CAA requires that adequate steps be implemented to control the release of air pollutants and prevent significant deterioration in air quality. The 1990 amendments to the CAA require Federal agencies to determine the conformity of proposed actions with respect to State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for attainment of air quality goals.

2.2.2 Biological Resources

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires Federal agencies that fund, authorize, or implement actions to avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of federally listed threatened or endangered species, or destroying or adversely affecting their critical habitat. Federal agencies must evaluate the effects of their actions through a set of defined procedures, which can include preparation of a Biological Assessment and formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

EO 11990, Protection of Wetlands, requires that Federal agencies provide leadership and take actions to minimize or avoid the destruction, loss, or degradation of wetlands and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands.

The CWA, under Section 404, contains provisions for protection of wetlands and establishes a permitting process for activities having potential effects in wetland areas. Wetlands, riverine, and open water systems are considered waters of the United States and, as such, fall under the regulatory jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

2.2.3 Cultural Resources

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) provides the principal authority used to protect historic properties, establishes the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), and defines, in Section 106, the requirements for Federal agencies to consider the effect of an action on properties on or eligible for the NRHP.

Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties (36 CFR 800 [1986]) provides an explicit set of procedures for Federal agencies to meet their obligations under the NHPA, including inventorying of resources and consultation with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).

The Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 ensures that Federal agencies protect and preserve archeological resources on Federal or Native American lands and establishes a permitting system to allow legitimate scientific study of such resources.

EO 13007, Indian Sacred Sites, requires that, to the extent practicable, Federal agencies accommodate access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners and avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity of such sacred sites.

EO 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, requires that each Federal agency shall have an effective process to permit elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies or matters that uniquely affect their communities.

2.2.4 Safety

Air Force Instruction (AFI) 91-301, Air Force Occupational and Environmental Safety, Fire Protection, and Health (AFOSH) Program, implements AFPD 91-3, Occupational Safety and Health, by outlining the AFOSH Program. The purpose of the AFOSH Program is to minimize loss of USAF resources and to protect USAF personnel from occupational deaths, injuries, or illnesses by managing risks. In conjunction with the USAF Mishap Prevention Program (AFI 91-202), these standards ensure all USAF workplaces meet Federal safety and health requirements. This instruction applies to all USAF activities.

2.2.5 Water Resources

The Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1977 (33 United States Code [USC] 1344) and the Water Quality Act of 1987 (33 USC 1251, et seq., as amended) establish Federal policy to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters, and where attainable, to achieve a level of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and recreation in and on the water.

Executive Order (EO) 11988, Floodplain Management, requires Federal agencies to take action to reduce the risk of flood damage; minimize the impacts of floods on human safety, health, and welfare; and restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains. Federal agencies are directed to consider the proximity of their actions to or within floodplains. Where information is unavailable, agencies are encouraged to delineate the extent of floodplains at their site.

Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) establishes goals for and a mechanism for states to control use and development of their coastal zones. CZMA applies to actions on federal lands only when state's coastal zone is affected. CZMA requires that federal agencies be consistent with enforceable policies of state coastal zone management programs when conducting or supporting activities within or outside the coastal zone that affect land use, water use, or natural resources of the coastal zone.

2.2.6 Infrastructure

Infrastructure consists of the systems and physical structures that enable a population in a given area to sustain itself. Consideration of infrastructure is applicable to a proposed action or alternative where there may be an issue with respect to local capacities (e.g., utilities, transportation networks, energy) to provide the required support.

2.2.7 Noise

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 150, Airport Noise Compatibility Planning, provides guidance to measure noise at airports and surrounding areas and determine exposure of individuals to noise that result from the operations of an airport. FAA Part 150 identifies those land uses which are normally compatible with various levels of exposure to noise by individuals. It also provides technical assistance to airport operators, in conjunction with other local, state, and Federal authorities, to prepare and execute appropriate noise compatibility planning and implementation programs (14 CFR 150).

2.2.8 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice

EO 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, directs Federal agencies to assess the effects of their actions on minority and low-income populations within their region of influence. Agencies are encouraged to include demographic information related to race and income in their analysis of the environmental and economic effects associated with their actions.

3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

3.1 PROPOSED ACTION

The proposed project would repair part of the current Entomology Facility, increase the size of the herbicide storage room by 320 square feet, and construct a 72 square feet electrical room addition to the building. The Entomology Facility received several discrepancies that were documented during an Environmental Compliance Assessment and Management Program (ECAMP) evaluation in 2001. This proposed project is necessary to bring the facility into compliance with the appropriate regulations.

3.2 ALTERNATIVE ACTION

Alternative actions, such as only repairing the current facility, only constructing one addition were initially considered, but were eliminated due to the facility still being out of compliance.

3.3 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The no-action alternative would maintain the status quo. The building would continue to be out of compliance with federal, state, local, and Department of Defense regulations.

4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Section 4.0 describes the environmental and socioeconomic resources and conditions most liked to be affected by the proposed action.

In compliance with NEPA, CEQ guideline, and 32 CFR Part 989, as amended, the description of the affected environment focuses on those resources and conditions potentially subject to impacts. Some environmental resources and conditions that are often analyzed in an EA have been omitted from this analysis. The following details the basis for such exclusions:

- Infrastructure. The proposed action or the alternative action would not involve any activities that would contribute to major changes with respect to local capacities (e.g., utilities, transportation networks, energy) to provide the required support. The existing utilities would be utilized. The traffic patterns would not change in MFH. Accordingly, the detailed examination of the infrastructure has been omitted.
- Noise. Implementation of the proposed action or the alternative action would not involve permanent alterations to aircraft inventories, operations, or missions. No new permanent ground-based heavy equipment operations would be included in the proposed action or the alternative action. No activity included in the proposed action or the alternative action would result in a situation where residences would be impacted by an increase in present ambient noise levels. Furthermore, noise produced by construction activities associated with the proposed action or the alternative action would be temporary and would not significantly affect sensitive receptors. Accordingly, the detailed examination of noise has been omitted.
- Land Use. All activities associated with the proposed action or the alternative action would be consistent with present and foreseeable land use patterns at Dover AFB. Implementation of the proposed action or the alternative action would not alter the existing land use at Dover AFB. The surrounding land is not considered prime farmland. Accordingly, the detailed examination of land use has been omitted.
- Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice. The proposed action or the alternative action would not involve any activities that would contribute to changes in socioeconomic resources. There would be no change in the number of personnel assigned to DAFB, therefore there would be no changes in area population or associated changes in demand for housing and services. Accordingly, the detailed examination of socioeconomics has been omitted.

Environmental Justice addresses the potential for a proposed federal action to cause disproportionate and adverse health effects on minority or low-income populations. Adverse health effects are not expected, therefore minority and low-income population data is not analyzed in this assessment. There would be no environmental justice concerns associated with the proposed action or the alternative action. Accordingly, the detailed examination of environmental justice has been omitted.

4.1 AIR QUALITY

Proposed Action

Dover AFB is an area of severe non-attainment for ozone. The priority air pollutants of concern are nitrogen oxides (NOx) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). No long-term air quality impacts are expected from the proposed action. The proposed action would generate air pollutant emissions as a result of grading, filling, compacting, and paving operations, but these emissions would be temporary and would not be expected to generate any off-site impacts. The proposed action would generate VOC emissions as a result of using solvents, paints, thinners, and coatings, but these emissions would be temporary, *de minimis*, and would not be expected to generate any off-site impacts. Therefore, a Clean Air Act amendment section 176(c) conformity determination is not required.

No-Action Alternative

Air quality would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.2 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

Proposed Action

After reviewing the 1998 Wetland Survey, it was determined that the proposed project will not impact wetlands or the 100-year floodplain. The proposed action will be in a residential area where family dwelling units are currently located. (Figure 1)

According to the Biological/Ecological Inventory, dated 1993, there are no known occurrences of federally listed threatened and endangered animal or plant on Dover AFB. There are no animal or plant species of State Concern around the proposed site.

No-Action Alternative

Rare and endangered species or species of State Concern would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES

Proposed Action

According to the Cultural Resources Management Plan prepared in 2000, there are no cultural sites around the proposed site.

No-Action Alternative

Cultural resources would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.4 GEOLOGY

Proposed Action

Slopes in the proposed areas are shallow to flat and the proposed action would not cause or create significant changes to the topography of the Dover AFB area.

No-Action Alternative

Topography would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.5 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/HAZARDOUS WATER

Proposed Action

The entomology shop area was investigated under the Environmental Restoration Program. The risk assessment for the site determined that there is no risk to site workers from contaminants in soil, and that no remediation of the soil is required. However, there are residual contaminants in the soil that may not allow for unrestricted disposal of excavated soils. These contaminants include pesticides, such as chlordane and heptachlor, several semi-volatile organic compounds, and metals such as lead and chromium. Any excavated soil that is not suitable for use on site must be stockpiled on site and tested to determine proper disposal requirements. Each stockpile of soil must be analyzed for the following items:

- a. Full TCLP (toxicity characteristic leachate procedure) to include ignitability, reactivity, corrosivity, metals, organics, pesticides and herbicides
- b. Total Petroleum Hydrocarbons (TPH)
- c. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)
- d. BTEX (Benzene, Toluene, Xylene, and Ethyl benzene)
- e. Percent Solids

The sample results must be submitted to CES/CEV for interpretation. CEV will use the hazardous waste limitations in the code of federal regulations when evaluating the TCLP results to determine if the soil must be disposed of as hazardous waste. The

other remaining parameters are required for disposal at a Delaware Solid Waste Authority facility and have associated DSWA limitations. Those limitations will be compared to the results to determine if the soil can be disposed of within the State of Delaware, only if the soil is not a hazardous waste. If soil is hazardous waste, it must be disposed of accordingly at a disposal facility permitted to accept hazardous waste. If the soil is non-hazardous waste but does not meet the limitations of the DSWA, the soil must be disposed of at a disposal facility permitted to accept such waste.

Construction activities associated with the proposed action would require the use of certain hazardous materials such as paints, welding gases, solvents, preservatives, and sealants. It is anticipated that the quantity of products containing hazardous materials used during the construction would be minimal and their use would be of short duration. Contractors would be responsible for the management of hazardous materials, would be handled in accordance with Federal and state regulations.

No-Action Alternative

Hazardous materials would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.6 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

Proposed Action

The facility was tested for asbestos and lead based paint. The asbestos results were negative. The facia around the building contains lead based paint. The lead based paint will be handled in accordance with, State law and established Air Force policies, rules, and regulations.

No-Action Alternative

Occupational safety and health would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

4.7 WATER RESOURCES

Proposed Action

The groundwater is contaminated with chlorinated solvents. The proposed project should not impact the groundwater table; however if it does, any dewatering taking place will need to be analyzed to verify that it is not hazardous and personnel need to wear the appropriate PPE. There will be no impact of chlorinated solvents affecting the occupants the building.

No-Action Alternative

No water resources will be impacted by the no-action alternative.

5.0 CONCLUSION

Three alternatives were evaluated with regards to this project. The no-action alternative would maintain the status quo. The building would continue to be out of compliance with federal, state, local, and Department of Defense regulations.

The second alternative was to just alter the existing facility or just add one addition. This alternative was eliminated due to the facility still being out of compliance.

The third alternative is the proposed action to repair part of the current Entomology Facility, increase the size of the herbicide storage room by 320 square feet, and construct a 72 square feet electrical room addition to the building. Therefore, based on the reasons previously stated and the fact that there are no negative environmental impacts associated with the proposed action, the proposed action is the preferred action.

6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Benner, Rayanne, 436 CES/CEV Contribution: Author

7.0 LIST OF CONTACTS

Seip, Steven 436 CES/CEV

Purpose of Contact: Proponent

Deramo, Joanne 436 CES/ CEV

Purpose of Contact: ERP information

DiSalvo, Lee 436 CES/CEV

Purpose of Contact: Water information

8.0 REFERENCES

"Dover Air Force Base, Cultural Resources Management Plan", October 2000 "Biological and Ecological Inventory of Dover Air Force Base, Delaware", November 1993

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFI - Air Force Instruction

AFOSH - Air Force Occupational and Environmental Safety, Fire Protection, and Health

AFPD - Air Force Policy Directive

CAA - Clean Air Act

CEQ - Council on Environmental Quality

CFR - Code of Federal Regulations

CWA - Clean Water Act

CZMA - Coastal Zone Management Act

DAFB – Dover Air Force Base

EA - Environmental Assessment

EIAP - Environmental Impact Analysis Process

EIS - Environmental Impact Statement

EO - Executive Order

ESA - Endangered Species Act

FAA - Federal Aviation Administration

FONSI - Finding of No Significant Impact

MFH - Military Family Housing

NEPA - National Environmental Policy Act

NHPA - National Historic Preservation Act

NOx - Nitrogen Oxide(s)

NRHP - National Register of Historic Places

SHPO - State Historic Preservation Office

SIP - State Implementation Plan

SR - State Route

TCLP - Toxic Characteristics Leaching Procedure

USC - United States Code

USACE - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USAF - U.S. Air Force

VOC - Volatile Organic Compound

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

29 June 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

FROM: 436 AW/JA

SUBJECT: FONSI for Repair and Construction of Two Additions to Entomology Facility

1. I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) and the draft Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) to repair and construct two additions to building 921. I find both documents to be in compliance with 40 CFR 1501.3 and 1508.9, as implemented by AFI 32-7061, paragraph 3.3. Additionally, the EA was made available for public review and comment and no comments were received.

2. **RECOMMENDATION:** Sign the FONSI.

MARC A. JONES, Maj, USAF Deputy Staff Judge Advocate

1st Ind, 436 AW/JA

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

Concur/Non-concur

DONNA MARIE VERCHIO, Lt Col, USAF

Staff Judge Advocate

STAFF SUMMARY SHEET									
	ТО	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surnar	ne), GRADE AND DATE		ТО	ACTION	SIGNATUR	RE (Surname), GRADE AND DATE
1	AW/JA	Coord	Herch. &	Der (Hal	6				
2	MSG/CC	Sign			7				
3	CES/CEV	Action			8				
4					9				
5				<u></u>	10				
		SYMBOL CEV		PHONE 6849		TYPIST'S INITIALS rb	SUSPENSE DATE		
SUBJECT Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Repair and Construction of Two Additions to the								DATE	
Entomology Facility, Building 921.							20040628		

SUMMARY

- 1. PURPOSE. To request MSG/CC to sign the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Repair and Construction of Two Additions to the Entomology Facility, Building 921 (tab 1).
- 2. A Request for an Environmental Impact Analysis, AF Form 813, is enclosed (tab 2).
- 3. An environmental assessment (EA) for the Repair and Construction of Two Additions to the Entomology Facility, Building 921 (tab 3). The EA indicates there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action.
- 4. RECOMMENDATION: MSG/CC sign the enclosed FONSI.

M.A. PERZA
Deputy Base Civil Engineer

3 Tabs

- 1. FONSI, for the Entomology Facility
- 2. AF Form 813
- 3. Environmental Assessment and Supporting Documentation

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 AW/PA

FROM: 436 CES/CEV

SUBJECT: Public Notice Release

- 1. Attached is a public notice we will be placing in the Delaware State News. The advertisement announces a public comment period for an environmental assessment associated with the Repair and Construction of two additions to the Entomology Shop.
- 2. Request your coordination on this public notice. We plan to place the ad by Friday, 4 June 04, so the ad will begin running in the paper by the following Sunday. Please acknowledge by endorsing below.

CHARLES C. MIKULA, P.E. Chief, Environmental Flight

Oleh Chilal

1st Ind, 436 AW/PA

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 CES/CEV

PA has reviewed and coordinated on the attached advertisement announcing a public comment period for the environmental assessment indicated in this correspondence.

ALLISON TEDESCO, 1Lt, USAF Chief, Public Affairs Division

Allison N. Sedesso



State of Delaware:

County of Kent:

PUBLIC NOTICE DOVER AIR FORCE BASE

Dover Air Force Base (DAFB) is providing a public comment period regarding an environmental assessment associated with:

- Repair and Construction of two additions to the Entomology Shop.
 Addition to Facility 206

A copy of the environmental assessment is available for review at the Dover Public Library, 45 State Street, Dover, DE 19901, Comments may be submitted in writing no later than June 26, 2004 to Mr. Charles Mikula, 436 CES/CEV, 600 Chevron Avenue, Dover AFB, DE 19902-5600. All comments received prior to June 26, 2004 will be considered in the final decision.

Before me, a Notary Public, for the County and State aforesaid, personally appeared Tamra Brittingham, known to me to be such, who being sworn according to law deposes and says that she is Publisher of the Delaware State News, a daily newspaper published at Dover, County of Kent and State of Delaware, and that the notice, a copy of which is hereto attached, was published in the Delaware State News in its issue of

Publisher Sworn to and subscribed before me this Notary Public

De la constant de la

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

26 May 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 CES/CEV

FROM: 436 AW/JA

SUBJECT: Proposed Environmental Assessment for Project FJXT051036

- 1. I have reviewed the subject package and notice a discrepancy that must be corrected prior to public comment. AF Form 813 block 17 indicates that the proposed project qualifies for a CATEX under authority 32 CFR Part 989(B), A2.3.8 and A2.3.11, as referenced by AFI 32-7061, *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process*. Block 18 references a FONSI, completed on 18 Jun 01, for the construction of a 3,000 square foot addition to facility 419, as the basis for the A2.3.11 CATEX.
- 2. The Staff Summary Sheet indicates the purpose of the package is for JA approval of an Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for repairs and additions to the Entomology Facility. Additionally, the package contains a current EA and FONSI on the subject.
- 3. Because it appears that the package was intended to staff the EA and FONSI and not request the review of a CATEX, I recommend amending AF Form 813 accordingly. To do so, please mark block 17 to indicate that the "PROPOSED PROJECT DOES NOT QUALIFY FOR A CATEX; FURTHER ENVIRONMENTAL ANALYSIS IS REQUIRED." Additionally, remove the reference to CATEX A2.3.11 from block 18, it is unnecessary since a current EA was completed.
- 4. In regard to the EA, Section 3.1, "Proposed Action," describes a project different than that described in block 5 of AF Form 813. Ensure that the differences are reconciled and the proposed project is adequately described.
- 5. The FONSI indicates that the EA will be available for public review between 30 May 04 through 5 Jun 04. While 32 CFR Part 989.15 does allow an agency some discretion in how long the EA and FONSI are "published" for a project of this nature, four business days seems relatively short. I recommend allowing at least two full weeks for public review and comment.
- 6. RECOMMENDATION: Make the recommended changes and staff through 436 AW/JA for final approval.

7. Please contact me at 5086 if you have any questions.

GEORGE J. KONOVAL, Capt, USAF Assistant Staff Judge Advocate

1st Ind, 436 AW/SJA

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 CES/CEV

Concur/Non-concur

DONNA MARIE VERCHIO, Lt Col, USAF

Staff Judge Advocate

STAFF SUMMARY SHEET									
, Pi	ТО	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surnan	ne), GRADE AND DATE		ТО	ACTION	SIGNATUR	RE (Surname), GRADE AND DATE
1	AW/JA	Coord	Muh. D	n What sy	6				
2	CES/CEV	Action		()	7				
3					- 8				
4					9				
5					10				
					7				
SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE SYMBOL Mikula, GS-13 CEV			6849 INIT		TYPIST'S INITIALS	SUSPENSE DATE			
						rb			
SUBJECT							DATE		
	Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Repair and two Addition to the Entomology Facility.							20040504	

SUMMARY

- 1. PURPOSE. To request 436 AW/JA concurrence with the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Repair and two Addition to the Entomology Facility.
- 2. A Request for an Environmental Impact Analysis, AF Form 813, is enclosed (tab 1).
- 3. An EA with a FONSI is enclosed (tab 2 and tab 3). The EA indicates there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action.
- 4. RECOMMENDATION: 436 AW/JA concur with the EA and FONSI.

M.A.PERZA Deputy Base Civil Engineer 3 Tabs

1. AF Form 813

2. EA

3. FONSI

Public Notice Dover Air Force Base

Dover Air Force Base (DAFB) is providing a public comment period regarding an environmental assessment associated with:

- 1. Repair and Construction of two additions to the Entomology Shop.
- 2. Addition to Facility 206

A copy of the environmental assessment is available for review at the Dover Public Library, 45 State Street, Dover, DE 19901. Comments may be submitted in writing no later than June 26, 2004 to Mr. Charles Mikula, 436 CES/CEV, 600 Chevron Avenue, Dover AFB, DE 19902-5600. All comments received prior to June 26, 2004 will be considered in the final decision.